

Panaji, 16th December, 2004 (Agrahayana 25, 1926)

SERIES II No. 38

# OFFICIAL GAZETTE

## GOVERNMENT OF GOA

Note:- There are Five Extraordinary issues to the Official Gazette, Series II, No. 37 dated 9-12-2004 as follows:-

- 1) Extraordinary dated 10-12-2004 from pages 857 to 858 regarding Notification from Goa Legislature Secretariat.
- 2) Extraordinary No. 2 dated 10-12-2004 from pages 859 to 862 regarding Order from Department of Home (Home-General Division), Notifications, Order and Corrigenda from Department of Revenue.
- 3) Extraordinary No. 3 dated 13-12-2004 from pages 863 to 864 regarding Notifications from Departments of Science, Technology & Environment, Tourism and Town & Country Planning respectively.
- 4) Extraordinary No. 4 dated 14-12-2004 from pages 865 to 866 regarding Notice of Election and Public Notice from Department of Panchayati Raj and Community Development (Directorate of Panchayats).
- 5) Extraordinary No. 5 dated 15-12-2004 from pages 867 to 868 regarding Order from Department of Finance (Revenue & Control Division).

### GOVERNMENT OF GOA

#### Department of Co-operation

Office of the Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies

No. 5/11/00-TS/1497

- Read: 1. Audit Report for the year 1994 to 1999.  
2. Letter No. 6/23/98/ADT dated 10-02-2003.  
3. This office Notice No. 3/11/00-TS dated 8-9-2004.

The Satari Taluka Sahakari Jungle Majoor Saunstha Ltd., Valpoi, Satari-Goa was registered under code symbol No. PRD-(b)-19/Goa on 30-6-1964 and was classified as a "Producer's Society" under sub-classification No. (b) "Labourer's Industrial Society" in terms of Rule 9 of the Co-op. Societies Rules, 1962 for the Union Territory of Goa, Daman & Diu. The main objectives have been specified under bye-law No. 2 (1 to 7) i.e. to encourage thrift, self-help, Co-operation among its members to fulfill their needs as per the provisions laid down in bye-law No. 3, to raise funds, to find suitable & profitable employment for the members of the society in Labour Contract by obtaining contract from Government Public

Bodies, Private Bodies or Individuals or by executing contracts, to purchase and sale of mechanical equipment for the benefits of members etc.

And whereas, the audit of the society for the year 1994 to 1999 have been completed and thereafter giving due intimation to the society for the conduct of audit for the further period by the concerned auditor, the management of the society has failed to Co-operate and as such inordinate delay has been caused which lead to pendency of audit for more than 5 years. The audit report resting in this office exhibits the financial position as on 31-3-1999. That the society has sustained accumulated loss to the tune of Rs. 653-61, Members Share capital Rs. 825/- Government loan Rs. 8416/-, Other liabilities Rs. 992/-, Cash & Bank Balance Rs. 6355-36, Investment Rs. 300/-, Other Assets Rs. 4796-21. However, it was mandatory on the part of the management of the society to run the affairs of the society smoothly and efficiently to achieve objectives as laid down in the bye-laws of the society which they failed to do.

And whereas, it appears from this office records that the management of the society has failed to convey their Annual General Meeting as per the provisions of Section 75 of the Maharashtra Co-op. Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa for last 5 years and as such the chance of improving such precarious Administration and financial position at this stage cannot be anticipated since none of the members are interested in running the day to day affairs of the society.

And whereas, this office vide letter as mentioned at Sr. No. 2 has brought to the notice of the Chairman of the society regarding non-compliance of the provisions of Rule 58 of the Co-op. Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa that the society has failed to prepare and submit the Statements of Accounts to this office after close of every Co-op. year for last 5 years.

In the event of failure on the part of management to comply with the requirements upto 28-2-2003. The society has been cautioned that drastic action as per the prevailing Law in force will be taken. However, the society has violated the provisions of Rule 58 of the Co-op. Societies Rules, 1962.

In view of the above, the undersigned vide Notice mentioned at Sr. No. 3 has requested the Chairman of the society alongwith the members of Managing

Committee to remain present for the hearing scheduled on 15-9-2004 at 11.30 a.m. in the Chamber of the undersigned in terms of Section 102(2) of the Maharashtra Co-op. Societies Act, 1960 copies of the relevant documents to defend their say. However, none of the Managing Committee members remained present for the said hearing on the scheduled date and time.

On carefully examining and considering the precarious state of the present financial position and poor response from the management for Managing the affairs of the society as per the provisions of the Act, Rules and Bye-laws, it appears that there are grounds to believe that there is prima-facie evidence that the society has ceased working and amounts to irregular conduct of aforesaid management. Consequently, in the interest of the justice and restoring of the trust of the shareholders there seems to be no any other option/alternative before the Registering Authority but to wind up the affairs of the society.

Therefore, it is felt expedient to pass the following order.

#### ORDER

By virtue of powers vested in me under Section 102(1) of the Maharashtra Co-op. Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, read with Rule 84 of the Co-op. Societies Rules, 1962, the above mentioned society was called upon to submit its explanation on 15-9-2004 as per the Notice mentioned at Sr. No. 3 above. Accordingly, the management has given an ample opportunity to explain its stand in person and in writing etc. However, none of the members, remained present to defend their say. Therefore, I, Ronnie Dias, Asst. Registrar of Co-op. Societies, North Zone, Mapusa-Goa hereby confirm the aforesaid order in terms of provisions of Section 102(2) of the Maharashtra Co-op. Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa.

Further, in exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 103(1) of the Maharashtra Co-op. Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa read with Rule 86(1) of the Co-op. Societies Rules, 1962, I appoint Shri B. Y. Sawant, Sr. Auditor, Co-op. Societies, North Zone, Mapusa-Goa as a liquidator of the Satari Taluka, Sahakari Jungle Majoor Saunsta Ltd., Valpoi-Satari-Goa.

Ronnie Dias, Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 9th November, 2004.

No. 5/22/00-TS/1498

- Read: 1. Audit Report for the year 1993 to 1994.  
2. Letter No. 6/23/98/ADT dated 10-02-2003.  
3. This office Notice No. 5/22/00-TS dt. 3-9-04 was called upon alongwith Managing Committee Members on 14-09-2004.

Gramshree Audyogik Sahakari Society Ltd., Honda, Satari-Goa was registered under code symbol

No. PRD-(a)-21/NZ/Goa on 18-10-1991 and was classified as a "Producers Society" under sub-classification No. 7(a) "Industrial Producers Society" in terms of Rule 9 of the Co-op. Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa. The main objectives have been defined in the bye-law No. (I to V) i.e. to render social help to the families of ACGL Employees, such as wives/sisters/mothers to improve their social, financial and cultural status, to obtain suitable work for a dependent lady family members of the permanent employees of ACGL from various sources so that they can improve their own financial status by self-help, to institute training classes for the ladies in handicrafts, to organise schools like kindergarten, montessary or primary education institutions etc.

And whereas, it transpires from the records resting of this office that functioning of the society has been paralised and has come to a standstill position since 1994 onwards. The management of the society has failed to achieve its objectives as laid down in the aforesaid bye-laws. The audit of the society was completed upto the year 1993-1994. The Audit report exhibits financial position as on 31-3-1994 that it has sustained accumulated loss to the tune of Rs. 10497.67. Besides this the financial position depicted in balance sheet indicates that the members share capital is of Rs. 900/-, ACGL Account Rs. 117558.07, Cash in hand Rs. 266.70, Cash at Bank Rs. 5095.70, Closing stock Rs. 104058/-, it can be clearly observed from the above that almost the entire Share capital contributed by the members has been completely wiped off due to the accumulated loss. In fact it was mandatory on the part of the management to run the affairs of the society smoothly and efficiently to achieve its objectives as laid down in the Bye-laws of the society which they failed to do so.

And whereas, the management of the society has failed to convene its Annual General Meeting as per the provisions of Section 75 of the Maharashtra Co-op. Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa for last 9 years as such chance of improving such precarious administration and financial position of the society at this stage appears to be vague/remote since none of the members are interested in the running the day to day affairs of the society.

And whereas, this office vide letter mentioned at Sr. No. 2 has brought to the notice of the Chairman of the society regarding non-compliance of the provisions of Rule 58 of the Co-op. Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa and instructed them to prepare and submit the Statements of Account by 28-2-2003 and was cautioned to take drastic action against the society as per the prevailing Law in force incase the society fails to comply with the above requirement. Considering all these aspects, I am of the considered opinion that neither the management has adhered to the instruction nor made any attempts to comply with the requirements and it appears that they are no more interested in Managing the affairs of the society. Therefore, it is felt that no useful purpose will be served in continuing the existance of the said society and if the present position of the society is allowed to be continued in a such a

state there will be no scope for the improvements and may lead to further deterioration.

In view of the above, the undersigned vide notice mentioned at Sr. No. 3 has requested the Chairman of the society alongwith Managing Committee to remain present for the hearing scheduled on 14-9-2004 at 10.30 a.m. in the Chamber of the undersigned in terms of provisions of sub-section (2) of Section 102 of the Maharashtra Co-op. Societies Act, 1960 together with copies of relevant documents to defend their say. However, none of the Managing Committee members remained present for the hearing on the scheduled date and time and also no written replies have been filed by the Management in this regard.

On meticulously examining and considering the precarious state of the present financial position and poor response from the management for managing the affairs of the society as per the provisions of Act, Rules and Bye-laws, it appears that there are grounds to believe that there is prima-facie evidence that the society has ceased working and amounts to irregular conduct of aforesaid management. Consequently, in the interest of the justice and restoring of the trust of share holders there seems to be no any other alternative before the Registering Authority but to wind up the affairs of the society.

Therefore it is felt expedient to pass the following order.

#### ORDER

By virtue of powers vested in me under Section 102(1) of the Maharashtra Co-op. Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa read with Rule 84 of the Co-op. Societies Rules, 1962, the above mentioned society was called upon to submit its explanation on 14-9-2004 as per the notice mentioned at Sr. No. 3 above. Accordingly, the management has given an ample opportunity to explain its stand in person and in written etc. However, none of the members remained present to defend their say. Therefore, I, Ronnie Dias, Asst. Registrar of Co-op. Societies, North Zone, Mapusa-Goa hereby confirm the aforesaid order in terms of provisions of Section 102(2) of Maharashtra Co-op. Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa.

Further, in exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 103(1) of the Maharashtra Co-op. Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa read with Rule 86(1) of the Co-op. Societies Rules, 1962, I appoint Shri B. Y. Sawant, Sr. Auditor, Co-op. Societies, North Zone, Mapusa-Goa as a liquidator of the Gramshree Audyogik Sahakari Society Ltd., Honda, Satari-Goa.

Ronnie Dias, Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 9th November, 2004.

No. 3/7/00-TS/LQD/1496

- Read: 1. Audit Report for the year 1994 to 1999.  
2. Letter No. 6/23/98/ADT dated 10-02-2003.  
3. This office Notice No. 3/7/00-TS dated 27-8-2004 whereunder the society was called upon alongwith Managing Committee Members on 6-09-2004.

The Canvas Shoe Company Employees Co-op. Credit Society Ltd., Karaswada, Mapusa-Goa was registered under code symbol No. RES-(a)-23/NZ/Goa on 11-7-1986 and was classified as a "Resource Society" under sub-classification No. 8(a) "Credit Resource Society" in terms of Rule 9 of the Co-op. Societies Rules, 1962 for the Union Territory of Goa, Daman & Diu. The main objectives have been specified under Bye-law No. 3 i.e. to encourage spirit of self-help, unity and thrift and enabling members to save by practising thrift to get loans at the time of need at reasonable rate of interest etc.

It transpires from the records of this office that functioning of the society has been paralised and has come to a standstill position since 1999 and onwards as most of the employees of the Company have resigned on account of cropping up of financial crises in the Company thereby resulting into closure retirement of staff of the company and intum it has severe impact on the members of Credit Society. Hence the management of the society was not in a position to control the circumstances and have failed to achieve its objectives as laid down in the aforesaid bye-laws.

And whereas, as per the provisions of Rule 58 of Co-op. Societies Rules, 1962 the management of the society has failed to prepare and submit Statements of Accounts for last 5 years. Thus the society has violated the provisions of aforesaid Rules.

And whereas, as per the records of this office audit of the society was completed upto 1999. The audit as mentioned above reveals that the society has earned accumulated profit to the tune of Rs. 13519-40, Members Share Capital Rs. 8640/-, Reserve fund Rs. 13549-31, Other liabilities Rs. 4213.85. On other hand it has its assets as Cash at Bank Rs. 9861-80, Members loan receivable Rs. 16914-40, Other Assets Rs. 13146-36. It was Mandatory on the part of the Management to run the affairs of the society smoothly as per the objectives laid down in the Bye-laws of the society but they have failed to achieve the same.

And whereas, it appears from this office that the management of the society has failed to convey their Annual General Meeting as per the provisions of Section 75 of the Maharashtra Co-op. Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa for last 5 years and as such chance of improving such precarious Administration and financial position of the society at this stage cannot be anticipated since none of the members are interested in running the day to day affairs of the society.

And whereas, this office vide letter mentioned at Sr. No. 2 has brought to the notice of the Chairman of the society regarding non-compliance of the provisions of Rule 58 of the Co-op. Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa and instructed to prepare and submit the Statements of Accounts by 28-2-2003 and was cautioned to take drastic action against the society as per the prevailing Law in force.

In view of the above, the undersigned vide Notice mentioned at Sr. No. 3 has requested the Chairman of the society alongwith the members of Managing Committee to remain present for the hearing scheduled on 6-9-2004 at 10.30 a.m. in the Chamber of the undersigned in terms of provisions of sub-section 2 of Section 102 of the Maharashtra Co-op. Societies Act, 1960 alongwith copies of the relevant documents to defend their say. However, none of the members of the Managing Committee members remained present for the hearing on the scheduled date and time and no written replies have been filed by the Management in this regard.

On carefully examining and considering the precarious State of the present financial position and poor response from the management for Managing the affairs of the society as per the provisions of Act, Rules and Bye-laws, it appears that there are grounds to believe that there is prima-facie evidence that the society has ceased working and there is irregular conduct of the present management. Consequent, in the interest of the justice and restoring of the trust of shareholders there seems to be no any other alternative/option before the Registering Authority but to wind up the affairs of the society.

Therefore it is felt expedient to pass the following order.

#### ORDER

By virtue of powers vested in me under Section 102(1) of the Maharashtra Co-op. Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa read with Rule 84 of the Co-op. Societies Rules, 1962, the above mentioned society was called upon to submit its explanation on 6-9-2004 as per the Notice mentioned at Sr. No. 3 above. Accordingly, the management was given an ample opportunity to explain its stand in person and in writing etc. Moreover none of the members remained present to defend their say. Therefore, I, Ronnie Dias, Asst. Registrar of Co-op. Societies, North Zone, Mapusa-Goa hereby confirm the aforesaid order in terms of provisions of Section 102(2) of the Maharashtra Co-op. Societies, Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa.

Further, in exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 103(1) of the Maharashtra Co-op. Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa read with Rule 86(1) of the Co-op. Societies Rules, 1962, I appoint Shri B. Y. Sawant, Sr. Auditor/Inspector, Co-op. Societies, North Zone, Mapusa-Goa as a liquidator of the Canvas Shoe Company Employees Co-op. Credit Society Ltd., Karaswada, Mapusa-Goa.

Ronnie Dias, Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 17th November, 2004.

## Department of Education, Art & Culture

### Directorate of School Education

#### Order

No. 14/39/93/EDN-PART/246

Read:- Government Orders :

- 1) No.14/39/93-EDN-PART/6351 dated 30-1-2002.
- 2) No. 14/39/93-EDN-PART/93 dated 3-9-2002.
- 3) No. 14/39/93-EDN-Part/290 dated 29-7-2003.
- 4) No. 14/39/93-EDN-Part/380 dated 25-11-2003.
- 5) No. 14/39/93-EDN-PART/127 dated 29-06-2004.

Government is pleased to extend the period of ad hoc promotion of the below mentioned 3 Officers Group 'A' Gazetted, presently on ad hoc basis, in the pay scale of Rs. 10000-325-15200/- with due concurrence of the Goa Public Service Commission vide their letter No. COM/II//11/15(1)/94 (Part file-II) dated 30-11-2004 for the period indicated against their names or till the posts are filled on regular basis, whichever is earlier.

Sr. No.	Name of the Officer and present posting.	Order No. & date of ad hoc promotion	Date of expiry period	Period of further extension of ad hoc promotion
1	2	3	4	5
1.	Shri R. W. Dande, Principal, Dr. T. B. Cunha, Govt. Hr. Sec. School, Panaji-Goa.	No. 14/39/93 -EDN-PART/ /6351 dated 30-01-2002	30-9-2004	01-10-2004 to 31-3-2005
2.	Shri S. S. Rane, Principal, Govt. Hr. Sec. School, Vasco.	No. 14/39/93 -EDN-PART/ /6351 dated 30-01-2002	30-9-2004	01-10-2004 to 31-3-2005
3.	Shri B. G. Naik,, Principal, Govt. Hr. Sec. School, Khandola.	No. 14/39/93 -EDN-PART/ /6351 dated 30-01-2002	30-9-2004	01-10-2004 to 31-3-2005

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Ashok N. P. Dessai, Ex-officio Joint Secretary (School Education).

Panaji, 2nd December, 2004.

## Department of Labour

#### Order

No. 24/19/2004-LAB/989

Government is pleased to recognize Chikitsa Hospital, Verna as an E.S.I. approved hospital under the E.S.I. Scheme on trial basis for one year from the date of issue of this order.

Terms and conditions shall be as follows:

1. The treatment shall be exclusively for emergency cases arising out of sudden sickness or accidents.
2. The indoor treatment shall not exceed 24 hours of stay. In case the same exceeds stipulated time of 24 hours, the case may be referred to E.S.I. Hospital, Margao, Goa Medical College, Bambolim or any other nearest Government Hospital.
3. Rates for treatment for medical cases and the medicine administered shall be as specified by the Chikitsa Hospital in their letter dated 10-09-2004 addressed to the Commissioner of Labour.
4. Payment of bill shall be made within 21 days of submission of bill subject to the conditions that the same are in conformity with the rates stipulated in the above letter. For any delay in payment on account of administrative procedure the Government shall not bear the liability.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Vasanti H. Parvatkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 30th November, 2004.

#### Order

No. 28/15/2004-LAB-Part/938

Whereas the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the management of M/s. Haathi Mahal Resort Hotel, Cavelossim, and its workman Shri Purshottam R. Gaonkar, Gardener, in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said dispute");

And whereas the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal of Goa, at Panaji-Goa, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act.

#### SCHEDULE

"(1) Whether the action of the management of M/s. Haathi Mahal Resort Hotel, Cavelossim, in terminating the services of Shri Purshottam R. Gaonkar, Gardener, with effect from 18-3-2003, is legal and justified ?

(2) If not, what relief the workman is entitled to ?"

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Vasanti H. Parvatkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 23rd November, 2004.

#### Order

No. 28/15/2004-LAB-Part/939

Whereas the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the management of M/s. Haathi Mahal Resort Hotel, Cavelossim, and its workman Shri Peter Fernandes, Gardener, in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said dispute");

And whereas the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal of Goa, at Panaji-Goa, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act.

#### SCHEDULE

"(1) Whether the action of the management of M/s. Haathi Mahal Resort Hotel, Cavelossim, in terminating the services of Shri Peter Fernandes, Gardener, with effect from 16-10-2003, is legal and justified ?

(2) If not, what relief the workman is entitled to ?"

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Vasanti H. Parvatkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 23rd November, 2004.

#### Order

No. 28/15/2004-LAB-Part/940

Whereas the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the management of M/s. Haathi Mahal Resort Hotel, Cavelossim, and its workman Shri Benedito Simoes, Resort Attendant, in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said dispute");

And whereas the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal of Goa, at Panaji-Goa, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act.

#### SCHEDULE

"(1) Whether the action of the management of M/s. Haathi Mahal Resort Hotel, Cavelossim, in

terminating the services of Shri Benedito Simoes, Resort Attendant, with effect from 4-4-2003, is legal and justified ?

(2) If not, what relief the workman is entitled to ?

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Vasanti H. Parvatkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 23rd November, 2004.

#### Order

No. 28/15/2004-LAB-Part/941

Whereas the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the management of M/s. Haathi Mahal Resort Hotel, Cavelossim, and its workman Shri Mallappa T. Badami, G. T. M. Mason, in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said dispute");

And whereas the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal of Goa, at Panaji-Goa, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act.

#### SCHEDULE

"(1) Whether the action of the management of M/s. Haathi Mahal Resort Hotel, Cavelossim, in terminating the services of Shri Mallappa T. Badami, G. T. M. Mason, with effect from 7-1-2004, is legal and justified ?

(2) If not, what relief the workman is entitled to ?

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Vasanti H. Parvatkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 23rd November, 2004.

#### Order

No. 28/15/2004-LAB-Part/942

Whereas the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the management of M/s. Haathi Mahal Resort Hotel, Cavelossim, and its workman Shri Satyawani Pagi, Resort Attendant, in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said dispute");

And whereas the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal of Goa, at Panaji-Goa, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act.

#### SCHEDULE

"(1) Whether the action of the management of M/s. Haathi Mahal Resort Hotel, Cavelossim, in terminating the services of Shri Satyawani Pagi, Resort Attendant, with effect from 25-1-2004, is legal and justified ?

(2) If not, what relief the workman is entitled to ?

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Vasanti H. Parvatkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 23rd November, 2004.

#### Order

No. 28/15/2004-LAB-Part/943

Whereas the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the management of M/s. Haathi Mahal Resort Hotel, Cavelossim, and its workman Ms. Percy Rodrigues, Resort Attendant, in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said dispute");

And whereas the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal of Goa, at Panaji-Goa, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act.

#### SCHEDULE

"(1) Whether the action of the management of M/s. Haathi Mahal Resort Hotel, Cavelossim, in terminating the services of Ms. Percy Rodrigues, Resort Attendant, with effect from 2-3-2003, is legal and justified ?

(2) If not, what relief the workman is entitled to ?

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Vasanti H. Parvatkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 23rd November, 2004.

**Order**

No. 28/15/2004-LAB-Part/944

Whereas the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the management of M/s. Haathi Mahal Resort Hotel, Cavelossim, and its workman Shri Francisco Alphonso, Sr. Steward, in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said dispute");

And whereas the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal of Goa, at Panaji-Goa, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act.

**SCHEDULE**

"(1) Whether the action of the management of M/s. Haathi Mahal Resort Hotel, Cavelossim, in terminating the services of Shri Francisco Alphonso, Sr. Steward, with effect from 26-10-2003, is legal and justified ?

(2) If not, what relief the workman is entitled to ?"

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Vasanti H. Parvatkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 23rd November, 2004.

**Order**

No. 28/15/2004-LAB-Part/945

Whereas the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the management of M/s. Haathi Mahal Resort Hotel, Cavelossim, and its workman Shri Franky Pereira, Resort Attendant, in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said dispute");

And whereas the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal of Goa, at Panaji-Goa, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act.

**SCHEDULE**

"(1) Whether the action of the management of M/s. Haathi Mahal Resort Hotel, Cavelossim, in

terminating the services of Shri Franky Pereira, Resort Attendant, with effect from 25-1-2004, is legal and justified ?

(2) If not, what relief the workman is entitled to ?"

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Vasanti H. Parvatkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 23rd November, 2004.

**Order**

No. 28/28/2004-LAB

Whereas the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the management of M/s. Deodita Drinks, Sanguem, and their workman Shri Francis X. Fernandes, Supervisor, in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said dispute");

And whereas the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal of Goa, at Panaji-Goa, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act.

**SCHEDULE**

"(1) Whether the action of the management of M/s. Deodita Drinks, Sanguem-Goa, in refusing employment to Shri Francis X. Fernandes, Supervisor, with effect from 1-2-2003, is legal and justified ?

(2) If not, what relief the workman is entitled to ?"

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Vasanti H. Parvatkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 24th November, 2004.

**Order**

No. 28/15/2004-LAB-Part

Whereas the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the management of M/s. Haathi Mahal Resort Hotel, Cavelossim, and its workman Shri Ashok Sawant, Garden Supervisor, in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said dispute");

And whereas the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the



Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal of Goa, at Panaji-Goa, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act.

#### SCHEDULE

"(1) Whether Shri Ashok Sawant, Garden Supervisor, can be construed as workman as per clause (b) of Section (2) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) ?

(2) If the answer to the issue no (1) above is in the affirmative, then, whether the action of the management of M/s. Royal Goan Beach Resorts Private Limited, Haathi Mahal Resort Hotel, Cavelossim, in terminating the services of Shri Ashok Sawant, Garden Supervisor, with effect from 18-12-2003, is legal and justified ?

3) If the answer to issue no (2) above is in the negative what relief the workman is entitled to ?

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Vasanti H. Parvatkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 25th November, 2004.

#### Order

No. 28/28/2004-LAB

Whereas the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the management of M/s. Magic Electronics, Verna, and their workmen represented by All Goa General Employees Union, in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said dispute");

And whereas the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal of Goa, at Panaji-Goa, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act.

#### SCHEDULE

"(1) Whether the action of the management of M/s. Magic Electronics, Verna, in transferring the services of the below mentioned workpersons from Goa to Pondicherry, with effect from 1-1-2004, is legal and justified ?

- |                            |                            |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1) Ms. Filomena Rodrigues. | 11) Ms. Julie Barreto.     |
| 2) Ms. Florida Barbosa.    | 12) Ms. Anarita D'Silva.   |
| 3) Ms. Maria Vaz.          | 13) Ms. Pedrina Gama.      |
| 4) Ms. Mangala T. Naik.    | 14) Ms. Pasquina Mesquita. |

- |                             |                          |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| 5) Ms. Reshma Tirodkar.     | 15) Ms. Prafulla Naik.   |
| 6) Ms. Gracy Victoria.      | 16) Ms. Rosy Lucas.      |
| 7) Ms. Antoneta Gama.       | 17) Ms. Olivia Braganza. |
| 8) Ms. Arti Tirodkar.       | 18) Ms. Socorina Lucas.  |
| 9) Ms. Suchitra Arondekar.  | 19) Ms. Smita Naik.      |
| 10) Ms. Antoneta Fernandes. | 20) Ms. Sanghavi Naik.   |

(2) If not, what relief the workpersons are entitled to ?

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Vasanti H. Parvatkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 25th November, 2004.

#### Order

No. 28/21/2004-LAB/1014

Whereas the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the management of M/s. A. S. Electronics, Verna, and their workmen represented by All Goa General Employees Union, in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said dispute");

And whereas the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal of Goa, at Panaji-Goa, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act.

#### SCHEDULE

"(1) Whether the action of the management of M/s. A. S. Electronics, Verna, in transferring the services of the below mentioned workpersons from Goa to Pondicherry, with effect from 1-1-2004, is legal and justified ?

- |                                |                           |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1) Ms. Nestaldina D'Melo.      | 12) Ms. Maria M. Pereira. |
| 2) Ms. Milagrin Fernandes.     | 13) Ms. Maria Vaz.        |
| 3) Ms. Severina Mandes.        | 14) Ms. Lourdina D'Costa. |
| 4) Ms. Celina Colaco.          | 15) Ms. Natalina Vaz.     |
| 5) Ms. Christalina Xavier.     | 16) Ms. Neeta Naik.       |
| 6) Ms. Shobha P. Gauns.        | 17) Ms. Babita Redkar.    |
| 7) Ms. Ancy Gonsalves.         | 18) Ms. Malika Gauns.     |
| 8) Ms. Basiha Fernandes.       | 19) Ms. Maria Colaco.     |
| 9) Ms. Sinita Gauns.           | 20) Ms. Babita Dantye.    |
| 10) Ms. Celestina D'Souza.     | 21) Ms. Ritina D'Sa.      |
| 11) Ms. Christalina Fernandes. | 22) Ms. Pedrinha D'Costa. |

(2) If not, what relief the workpersons are entitled to ?

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Vasanti H. Parvatkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 30th November, 2004.



## Department of Law &amp; Judiciary

Law (Establishment) Division

**Order**

No. 5/4/2001/LD-Estt.

Government is pleased to promote Shri William Sagar Rebello, Civil Registrar-cum-Sub-Registrar, Panaji to the post of District Registrar (North) (Group "A" Gazetted) on ad hoc basis, with immediate effect, in the pay scale of Rs. 8000-275-13,500/- plus usual allowances as per rules. In addition to his own duties as District Registrar (North), Shri Rebello shall also hold the charge of the post of District Registrar (South), created vide Government Order No. 5/4/2001/LD-Estt. dated 14-1-2004, until further orders.

The pay of Shri Rebello shall be fixed as per rules and option, if any, should be given by him within a period of one month from the date of this order. The expenditure in this regard shall be debited to the Budget Head-2030-Stamp and Registration, 03-Registration, 001-Direction and Administration, 01-Superintendence, 01-Salaries (N.P.) under Demand No. 10.

The above ad hoc promotion is for a period of 6 months or till the post of District Registrar (North) is filled on regular basis whichever is earlier and the services rendered on ad hoc basis shall not count for the purpose of seniority in the grade or eligibility for promotion to the next higher grade.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*Mario da Silva*, Under Secretary (Law-Estt.).

Panaji, 1st December, 2004.

**Notification**

No. LS/Misc/744/01

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 3 of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 (Act No. 49 of 1988) and all other powers enabling it on this behalf, the Government of Goa, on the recommendation of the Hon'ble High Court of Bombay, hereby appoints the District & Sessions Judge, North Goa District, Panaji and the District & Sessions Judge, South Goa District, Margao, as Special Judges to deal with cases arising under the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988, within the jurisdiction of their respective District.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*Mario da Silva*, Under Secretary (Law-Estt.).

Panaji, 9th December, 2004.

Department of Panchayat Raj and  
Community Development

Directorate of Panchayats

**Notification**

No. 19/32/DP/PAN/Sarp-Dy-Sarp/04/Vol.III/4517

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 46 of the Goa Panchayat Raj Act, 1994 (Goa Act 14 of 1994) it is hereby notified for the information of the public that the member mentioned in column No. 3 of the schedule hereinbelow has been elected as Dy. Sarpanch to the Panchayat mentioned in the corresponding entry No. 2 of the said schedule in the meeting held on 22-11-2004.

**SCHEDULE**

Sr. No.	Name of the Village Panchayat	Name & address of the Dy. Sarpanch
1	2	3
1.	Village Panchayat Anjuna-Caisua, Bardez-Goa.	Smt. Leopaldina Sebastian D'Souza, R/o H. No. 631, Vagator, Bardez-Goa.

*N. B. Narvekar*, Director of Panchayats.

Panaji, 26th November, 2004.

**Notification**

No. 19/32/DP/PAN/Sarp-Dy-Sarp/04/Vol.III/4518

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of section 46 of the Goa Panchayat Raj Act, 1994 (Goa Act 14 of 1994) it is hereby notified for the information of the public that the member mentioned in column No. 3 of the schedule hereinbelow has been elected as Dy. Sarpanch to the Panchayat mentioned in the corresponding entry No. 2 of the said schedule in the meeting held on 19-11-2004.

**SCHEDULE**

Sr. No.	Name of the Village Panchayat	Name & address of the Dy. Sarpanch
1	2	3
1.	Village Panchayat Collem, Sanguem-Goa.	Smt. Rajeshree Rajaram Mardolkar, R/o Pimplamol, Collem, Sanguem-Goa.

*N. B. Narvekar*, Director of Panchayats.

Panaji, 26th November, 2004.

**Notification**

No. 19/32/DP/PAN/Sarp-Dy-Sarp/04/Vol.III/4519

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 46 of the Goa Panchayat Raj Act, 1994 (Goa Act 14 of 1994) it is

hereby notified for the information of the public that the member mentioned in column No. 3 of the schedule hereinbelow has been elected as Sarpanch to the Panchayat mentioned in the corresponding entry No. 2 of the said schedule in the meeting held on 22-11-2004.

#### SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Name of the Village Panchayat	Name & address of the Sarpanch
1	2	3
1.	Village Panchayat Poriem, Satari-Goa.	Shri Atmaram Pandurnag Shetye, R/o H. No. 64, Poriem, Math, Satari-Goa.

N. B. Narvekar, Director of Panchayats.

Panaji, 26th November, 2004.

#### Department of Personnel

##### Order

No. 13/45/2004-PER

Governor of Goa is pleased to grant extension in service to Shri Pandurang K. Tamse, Office Superintendent, Electricity Department for a period of three months, beyond the date of his superannuation w.e.f. 5-11-2004 to 04-02-2005.

The extension is subject to termination without assigning any reasons at any time during the period of extension.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

S. V. Naik, Joint Secretary (Personnel).

Porvorim, 30th November, 2004.

##### Order

No. 7/2/99-PER(PF)

In pursuance of the Government of India, Ministry of Home Affairs, Order No. 14020/9/2003-UTS.I dated 13-5-2004, Governor of Goa is pleased to relieve Shri P. Krishnamurthy (AGMU: 97), Secretary to Chief Minister, from this Administration with effect from 30-11-2004 afternoon to enable him to take up his new assignment under the Government of Mizoram-Aizawl.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

S. V. Naik, Joint Secretary (Personnel).

Porvorim, 30th November, 2004.

##### Addendum

No. 7/2/99-PER(PF)

Read: Goyt. Order No. 7/2/99-PER(PF) dated 30-11-2004.

Following para shall be added to the above referred order:-

"Shri P. Krishnamurthy, IAS, is permitted to travel by Air from Goa to Aizawl via Mumbai-Kolkatta."

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

S. V. Naik, Joint Secretary (Personnel).

Porvorim, 2nd December, 2004.

#### Department of Public Health

##### Corrigendum

No. 9/11/88-III/PHD(PF)

Read: Government Notification No. 9/11/88-III/PHD(PF) dated 15-10-2003.

Government is pleased to appoint Dr. Digambar Naik, C/o. Vrundavan Hospital, Mapusa-Goa, as a visitor on the Board of Visitors for the Institute of Psychiatry and Human Behaviour, Bambolim in place of Shri Narcinva P. Quenim, Director of Hotel Mandovi, Panaji-Goa, at Sl. No. 10 of the Government Notification cited above.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

S. G. Korgaokar, Under Secretary (Health).

Porvorim, 26th November, 2004.

#### Department of Revenue

##### Notification

No. 22/34/2004-RD

Whereas it appears to the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose viz. Acquisition of Land at Dhargal Village in Pernem Taluka Under Survey No. 35/1-A, 35/1-B, 36/1, 36/2, 37, 38, 39, and 40 for plotted development scheme/Social Housing Scheme/LIG/MIG scheme etc.

Now, therefore, the Government hereby notifies, under sub-section (1) of section 4 of said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contract for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise, or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed under paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this Notification, will under clause (seventh) of section 24 of the said Act, be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette and in two daily newspapers and public notice thereof shall be given in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will also be notified in the same manner.

4. The Government further appoints, under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act, the Dy. Collector/S.D.O., Mapusa to perform the functions of a Collector, under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government also authorises under sub-section (2) of section 4 of the said Act, the following Officers to do the Acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector, North Goa District, Panaji-Goa.
2. The Dy. Collector/S.D.O., Mapusa-Goa.
3. The Managing Director, Goa Housing Board, Alto Betim, Porvorim-Goa.
4. The Director of Settlement and Land Records, Panaji-Goa.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the Office of the Dy. Collector/S.D.O., Mapusa-Goa for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notification in the Official Gazette.

#### SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Pernem

Village: Dhargal

Survey No./ Sub. Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Appx. area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
35/1	1. Kashibai Pandurang Prabhudessai. 2. Rukmini Ladkoba Prabhudessai. 3. Ghanashyam Yeshwant Prabhudessai. 4. Sadanand Mukund Prabhudessai. 5. Raghunath Keshav Prabhudessai. 6. Mahadev Ghanashyam Prabhudessai. 7. Ravji Tukaram Prabhudessai. 8. Bhalchandra Gopal Prabhudessai. 9. Jairam Balakrishna Prabhudessai. 10. Madhusudan Nilkanth Prabhudessai. 11. Uttam Gopal Prabhudessai. 12. Haritalikabhai Mahadev Shenvi. 13. Jaivanti Gopal Mathkar.	4,027
35/1-A	14. Mahadev Ganashyam Parbhudessai.	
35/1-B	15. Gurudatt Sanzgiri.	2,648
36/1	1. Uttam Gopal Dessai. 2. Gurudatt G. Sanzgiri.	10,575
36/1	1. Rukmini Ladkoba Prabhudessai. 2. Sadanand Mukund Prabhudessai. 3. Kashibai Pandurang Prabhudessai. 4. Ghanashyam Yeshwant Prabhudessai. 5. Raghunath Keshav Prabhudessai. 6. Mahadev Ganashyam Prabhudessai. 7. Ravji Tukaram Prabhudessai.	

1	2	3
	8. Bhalchandra Gopal Prabhudessai. 9. Jairam Balkrishna Prabhudessai. 10. Madhusudan Nilkanth Prabhudessai. 11. Madhusudan Krushnaji Shenvi. 12. Jaivanti Ganapat Mathkar. 13. Narayan Yeso Vaskar. 14. Vishnu Laxman Vaskar. 15. Jairam Bablo Vaskar. 16. Shripad Atmaram Senvi Dessai. 17. Bhalchandra Mukund Prabhudessai.	5,625
36/2	1. Kashibai Pandurang Prabhudessai. 2. Rukmini Ladkoba Prabhudessai. 3. Ghanashyam Yeshwant Prabhudessai. 4. Sadanand Mukund Prabhudessai. 5. Raghunath Keshav Prabhudessai. 6. Mahadev Ghanashyam Prabhudessai. 7. Ravji Tukaram Prabhudessai. 8. Bhalchandra Gopal Prabhudessai. 9. Jairam Balkrishna Prabhudessai. 10. Madhusudan Nilkanth Prabhudessai. 11. Uttam Gopal Prabhudessai. 12. Haritalikabhai Mahadev Shenvi. 13. Jaivanti Gopal Mathkar. 14. Amol Daji Shirodkar: Occupant for an area 1470.00 sq. mts.	14,700
37/1	1. Kashibai Pandurang Prabhudessai. 2. Rukmini Ladkoba Prabhudessai. 3. Ghanashyam Yeshwant Prabhudessai. 4. Sadanand Mukund Prabhudessai. 5. Raghunath Keshav Prabhudessai. 6. Mahadev Ghanashyam Prabhudessai. 7. Ravji Tukaram Prabhudessai. 8. Bhalchandra Gopal Prabhudessai. 9. Jairam Balkrishna Prabhudessai. 10. Madhusudan Nilkanth Prabhudessai. 11. Uttam Gopal Prabhudessai. 12. Haritalikabhai Madhav Shenvi. 13. Jaivanti Gopal Mathkar. 14. Amol Daji Shirodkar: Occupant for an area 1000.00 sq. mts.	10,600
38/1	1. Jaivanti Ganapat Mathkar. 2. Madhusudan Krushnaji Shenvi. 3. Madhusudan Nilkanth Prabhudessai. 4. Jairam Balkrishna Prabhudessai. 5. Sadanand Mukund Prabhudessai. 6. Kashibai Pandurang Prabhudessai. 7. Mahadev Ghanashyam Dessai. 8. Ravji Tukaram Prabhudessai. 9. Bhalchandra Gopal Prabhudessai. 10. Raghunath Keshav Prabhudessai. 11. Ghanashyam Yeshwant Prabhudessai. 12. Rukmini Lakoba Prabhudessai. 13. Yeshvant Rama Gadekar.	

Name of the Tenant:-

1. Vassu Babu Pednekar.
2. Rama Anant Amar.
3. Shaikh Hassan.
4. Shaikh Ismael.

71,650

1	2	3
39/1	1. Yeshvant Rama Gadekar. 2. Kashibai Pandurang Prabhu. 3. Jairam Balkrishna Dessai. 4. Mahadev Ghanashyam Dessai. 5. Ravji Tukaram Prabhudessai. 6. Raghunath Keshav Prabhudessai. 7. Madhusudan Nilkanth Prabhudessai. 8. Ghanashyam Yeshwant Prabhudessai. 9. Rukmini Ladkoba Dessai. 10. Jaivant Ganapat Mathkar. 11. Sadanand Mukund Prabhudessai. 12. Madhusudan Krushnaji Shenvi. 13. Bhalchandra Gopal Prabhudessai.	
	Name of the Tenant:-	
	1. Vassu Babu Pednekar. 2. Rama Anant Amre. 3. Shaikh Hassan Shaikh Ismael.	22,800
40/1	1. Kashibai Pandurang Prabhudessai. 2. Jairam Balkrishna Prabhudessai. 3. Mahadev Ghanashyam Dessai. 4. Ravji Tukaram Prabhudessai. 5. Balchandra Gopal Prabhudessai. 6. Raghunath Keshav Prabhudessai. 7. Madhusudan Nilkanth Prabhudessai. 8. Ghanashyam Yeshwant Prabhudessai. 9. Rukmini Ladkoba Dessai. 10. Jaivanti Ganpat Mathkar. 11. Sadanand Mukund Prabhudessai. 12. Madhusudan Krishnaji Shenvi. 13. Yeshvant Rama Gadekar.	
	Name of the Tenant:-	
	1. Vassu Babu Pednekar. 2. Rama Anant Amer. 3. Shaikh Hassan Shaikh Ismael.	38,600
	Boundaries:	
	East : Survey No. 34, Nala, 40/2.	
	West : Survey No. 46.	
	South : Survey No. 41, 28.	
	North : Road S. No. 34, 33.	
	Total:	1,81,225

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Gurudas P. Pilarnekar, Under Secretary (Revenue).

Porvorim, 24th November, 2004.

## Department of Rural Development

No. DRDA-N/6-3(QC)/02-03/PMGSY/3504

**Order No. - 57**

Read: Order DRDA-N/6-3(QC)/02-03/PMGSY/1426 dated 09-07-2003.

In partial modification of the above referred order, Government is pleased to appoint Shri V. V. Santhanam, Retired Chief Engineer of Public Works Department, as State Quality Monitor (SQM) under Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa:

N. D. Agrawal, Joint Secretary (R.D.).

Panaji, 2nd December, 2004.

## Department of Vigilance

Directorate of Vigilance

**Order**

No. 13/16/2003-VIG/3135

Read: 1) Govt. Order No. 13/100/79-VIG(Vol.V) 222 dated 14-07-2000.

2) Govt. Order No. 13/100/79-VIG(Vol.V) 878 dated 03-07-2001.

3) Govt. Order No. 13/100/79-VIG(Vol.V) dated 28-07-2003.

4) Govt. Order No. 13/100/79-VIG/2115 dated 19-07-2004.

Government is pleased to extend the period of deputation of Shri Savio I. De Sa, Executive Engineer, Public Works Department as Technical Examiner in the Directorate of Vigilance for further period w.e.f. 18-10-2004 to 31-03-2005 on the usual terms and conditions of deputation as contained in the Government O. M. No. 14/4/74-PER dated 12-02-1999 as amended from time to time.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Sanjiv M. Gadkar, Addl. Director (Vigilance).

Panaji, 30th November, 2004.